

## Quasideterminant solutions of the generalized Heisenberg magnet model

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2010 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43 045204

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/43/4/045204>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.157

The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 08:51

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

# Quasideterminant solutions of the generalized Heisenberg magnet model

U Saleem and M Hassan

Department of Physics, University of the Punjab, Quaid-e-Azam Campus, Lahore 54590, Pakistan

E-mail: [usaleem@physics.pu.edu.pk](mailto:usaleem@physics.pu.edu.pk), [usman\\_physics@yahoo.com](mailto:usman_physics@yahoo.com) and [mhassan@physics.pu.edu.pk](mailto:mhassan@physics.pu.edu.pk)

Received 29 September 2009, in final form 18 November 2009

Published 23 December 2009

Online at [stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/43/045204](http://stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/43/045204)

## Abstract

In this paper we present the Darboux transformation for the generalized Heisenberg magnet (GHM) model based on the general linear Lie group  $GL(n)$  and construct multi-soliton solutions in terms of quasideterminants. Further we relate the quasideterminant multi-soliton solutions obtained by means of Darboux transformation with those obtained by the dressing method. We also discuss the model based on the Lie group  $SU(n)$  and obtain explicit soliton solutions of the model based on  $SU(2)$ .

PACS numbers: 11.10.Nx, 02.30.Ik

## 1. Introduction

During the past decades, there has been an increasing interest in the study of classical and quantum integrability of the Heisenberg ferromagnet (HM) model [1–15]. The Heisenberg ferromagnet (HM) model based on Hermitian symmetric spaces has been studied in [11–14]. The integrability of the HM model based on  $SU(2)$  via the inverse scattering method is presented in [2, 3] and its  $SU(n)$  generalization is studied in [4]. The integrability of a generalized HF (GHM) model based on the general linear Lie group  $GL(n)$  via Lax formalism has been investigated in [1]. In this paper we present the Darboux transformation of the GHM model based on the general linear group  $GL(n)$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$  and calculate multi-soliton solutions in terms of quasideterminants. We also establish the relation between the Darboux transformation and the well-known dressing method [16]. In the last section, we discuss the model-based  $SU(n)$  and calculate an explicit expression of the single-soliton solution of the HM model based on the Lie group  $SU(2)$  using Darboux transformation.

The Hamiltonian of the GHM model is defined by [1]

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}((\partial_x U)^T (\partial_x U)), \quad (1.1)$$

where ‘ $T$ ’ is transpose and  $U(x, t)$  is a matrix-valued function which takes values in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$  of the general linear group  $GL(n)$ . The corresponding equation of motion can be expressed as

$$\partial_t U = \{\mathcal{H}, \partial_x U\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Equation (1.2) can be written as

$$\partial_t U = [U, \partial_x^2 U], \tag{1.3}$$

where  $\partial_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  and  $\partial_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ . Let us assume that  $U(x, t)$  is diagonalizable, i.e.

$$U = gTg^{-1}, \tag{1.4}$$

where  $g \in GL(n)$  is a matrix function of  $(x, t)$  and  $T$  is a  $n \times n$  constant matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & c_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & c_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & c_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & c_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.5}$$

where  $1 \leq p \leq n$  and  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}$ ). From equations (1.4) and (1.5), we have

$$[U, [U, [U, \chi]]] = c^2 [U, \chi], \tag{1.6}$$

for an arbitrary matrix function  $\chi$  and  $c = c_1 - c_2 \neq 0$ . Since

$$\partial_x U \equiv U_x = [\partial_x g g^{-1}, U], \tag{1.7}$$

it implies

$$[U, [U, U_x]] = c^2 U_x. \tag{1.8}$$

The equation of motion (1.3) can also be written as the zero-curvature condition, i.e.

$$\left[ \partial_x - \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)} U, \partial_t - \frac{c^2}{(1-\lambda)^2} U - \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)} [U, U_x] \right] = 0. \tag{1.9}$$

The above zero-curvature condition (1.9) is equivalent to the compatibility condition of the following Lax pair:

$$\partial_x \Psi(x, t; \lambda) = \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)} U(x, t) \Psi(x, t; \lambda) \tag{1.10}$$

$$\partial_t \Psi(x, t; \lambda) = \left( \frac{c^2}{(1-\lambda)^2} U + \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)} [U, U_x] \right) \Psi(x, t; \lambda), \tag{1.11}$$

where  $\lambda$  is a real (or complex) parameter and  $\Psi$  is an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix-valued function belonging to  $GL(n)$ .

In the next section, we define the Darboux transformation on matrix solutions  $\Psi$  of the Lax pair (1.10)–(1.11). To write down the explicit expressions for matrix solutions of the GHM model, we will use the notion of the quasideterminant introduced by Gelfand and Retakh [17–21].

Let  $X$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix over a ring  $R$  (noncommutative, in general). For any  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , let  $r_i$  be the  $i$ th row and  $c_j$  be the  $j$ th column of  $X$ . There exist  $n^2$  quasideterminants denoted by  $|X|_{ij}$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$  and are defined by

$$|X|_{ij} = \left| \begin{array}{c|c} X^{ij} & c_j^i \\ \hline r_i^j & x_{ij} \end{array} \right| = x_{ij} - r_i^j (X^{ij})^{-1} c_j^i, \tag{1.12}$$

where  $x_{ij}$  is the  $ij$ th entry of  $X$ ,  $r_i^j$  represents the  $i$ th row of  $X$  without the  $j$ th entry,  $c_j^i$  represents the  $j$ th column of  $X$  without the  $i$ th entry and  $X^{ij}$  is the submatrix of  $X$  obtained by removing from  $X$  the  $i$ th row and the  $j$ th column. The quasideterminants are also denoted by the following notation. If the ring  $R$  is commutative, i.e. the entries of the matrix  $X$  all commute, then

$$|X|_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \frac{\det X}{\det X^{ij}}. \tag{1.13}$$

For a detailed account of quasideterminants and their properties see e.g. [17–21]. In this paper, we will consider only quasideterminants that are expanded about an  $n \times n$  matrix over a commutative ring. Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.14}$$

be a block decomposition of any  $K \times K$  matrix where the matrix  $D$  is  $n \times n$  and  $A$  is invertible. The ring  $R$  in this case is the (noncommutative) ring of  $n \times n$  matrices over another commutative ring. The quasideterminant of  $K \times K$  matrix expanded about the  $n \times n$  matrix  $D$  is defined by

$$\left| \begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array} \right| = D - CA^{-1}B. \tag{1.15}$$

The quasideterminants have found various applications in the theory of integrable systems, where the multisoliton solutions of various noncommutative integrable systems are expressed in terms of quasideterminants (see e.g. [22–30]).

## 2. Darboux transformation

The Darboux transformation is one of the well-known methods of obtaining multi-soliton solutions of many integrable models [31–33]. We define the Darboux transformation on the matrix solutions of the Lax pair (1.10)–(1.11), in terms of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $D(x, t, \lambda)$ , called the Darboux matrix. For a general discussion on the Darboux matrix approach, see e.g. [34–39]. The Darboux matrix relates the two matrix solutions of the Lax pair (1.10)–(1.11) in such a way that the Lax pair is covariant under the Darboux transformation. The onefold Darboux transformation on the matrix solution of the Lax pair (1.10)–(1.11) is defined by

$$\Psi[1](x, t; \lambda) = D(x, t, \lambda)\Psi(x, t; \lambda), \tag{2.1}$$

where  $D(x, t, \lambda)$  is the Darboux matrix. For our case, we can make the following ansatz

$$D(x, t, \lambda) = \lambda I - M(x, t), \tag{2.2}$$

where  $M(x, t)$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix function and  $I$  is an  $n \times n$  identity matrix. The new solution  $\Psi[1](x, t; \lambda)$  satisfies the following Lax pair, i.e.

$$\partial_x \Psi[1](x, t; \lambda) = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} U[1] \Psi[1](x, t; \lambda), \tag{2.3}$$

$$\partial_t \Psi[1](x, t; \lambda) = \left( \frac{c^2}{(1-\lambda)^2} U[1] + \frac{1}{1-\lambda} [U[1], U_x[1]] \right) \Psi[1](x, t; \lambda), \quad (2.4)$$

where  $U[1]$  satisfies the equation of motion (1.3). By operating  $\partial_x$  and  $\partial_t$  on equation (2.1) and equating the coefficients of different powers of  $\lambda$ , we get the following transformation on the matrix field  $U$

$$U[1] = U + M_x, \quad (2.5)$$

and the following conditions which  $M$  is required to satisfy

$$M_x(I - M) = [U, M], \quad (2.6)$$

$$M_t(I - M)^2 = [c^2 U + [U, U_x], M] + M[U, U_x]M - [U, U_x]M^2. \quad (2.7)$$

One can solve equations (2.6–2.7) to obtain an explicit expression for the matrix function  $M(x, t)$ . An explicit expression for  $M(x, t)$  can be found as follows.

Let us take  $n$  distinct real (or complex) constant parameters  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n (\neq 1)$ . Also take  $n$  constant column vectors  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  and construct an invertible non-degenerate  $n \times n$  matrix function  $\Theta(x, t)$

$$\Theta(x, t) = (\Psi(\lambda_1)e_1, \dots, \Psi(\lambda_n)e_n) = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n). \quad (2.8)$$

Each column  $\theta_i = \Psi(\lambda_i)e_i$  in the matrix  $\Theta$  is a column solution of the Lax pair (1.10)–(1.11) when  $\lambda = \lambda_i$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , i.e.

$$\partial_x \theta_i = \frac{1}{1-\lambda_i} U \theta_i, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\partial_t \theta_i = \left( \frac{c^2}{(1-\lambda_i)^2} U + \frac{1}{1-\lambda_i} [U, U_x] \right) \theta_i. \quad (2.10)$$

Let us take an  $n \times n$  invertible diagonal matrix with entries being eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  corresponding to the eigenvectors  $\theta_i$

$$\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n). \quad (2.11)$$

The  $n \times n$  matrix generalization of the Lax pair (2.9)–(2.10) will be

$$\partial_x \Theta = U \Theta (I - \Lambda)^{-1}, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\partial_t \Theta = c^2 U \Theta (I - \Lambda)^{-2} + [U, U_x] \Theta (I - \Lambda)^{-1}. \quad (2.13)$$

The  $n \times n$  matrix  $\Theta$  is a particular matrix solution of the Lax pair (2.9)–(2.10) with  $\Lambda$  being a matrix of particular eigenvalues. In terms of a particular matrix solution  $\Theta$  of the Lax pair (2.9)–(2.10), we make the following choice of the matrix  $M(x, t)$ :

$$M(x, t) = \Theta \Lambda \Theta^{-1}. \quad (2.14)$$

Our next step is to check that equation (2.14) is a solution of equations (2.6)–(2.7). In order to show this, we first operate  $\partial_x$  on equation (2.14) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x M &= \partial_x (\Theta \Lambda \Theta^{-1}), \\ &= (\partial_x \Theta) \Lambda \Theta^{-1} + \Theta \Lambda \partial_x (\Theta^{-1}), \\ &= U \Theta (I - \Lambda)^{-1} \Lambda \Theta^{-1} - \Theta \Lambda \Theta^{-1} U \Theta (I - \Lambda)^{-1} \Theta^{-1}, \\ &= -U + \Theta (I - \Lambda) \Theta^{-1} j_+ \Theta (I - \Lambda)^{-1} \Theta^{-1}, \\ &= -U + (I - M) U (I - M)^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

which is equation (2.6). Similarly when we operate  $\partial_t$  on (2.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t M &= \partial_t(\Theta\Lambda\Theta^{-1}) \\ &= (\partial_t\Theta)\Lambda\Theta^{-1} + \Theta\Theta\Lambda\partial_t(\Theta^{-1}) \\ &= (c^2U\Theta(I - \Lambda)^{-2} + [U, U_x]\Theta(I - \Lambda)^{-1})\Lambda\Theta^{-1} \\ &\quad - \Theta\Lambda\Theta^{-1}(c^2U\Theta(I - \Lambda)^{-2} + [U, U_x]\Theta(I - \Lambda)^{-1})\Theta^{-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

which is equation (2.7). This shows that the choice (2.14) of the matrix  $M$  satisfies equations (2.6)–(2.7). In other words we can say that if the collection  $(\Psi, U)$  is a solution of the Lax pair (1.10)–(1.11) and the matrix  $M$  is defined by (2.14), then  $(\Psi[1], U[1])$  defined by (2.1) and (2.5), respectively, is also a solution of the same Lax pair. Therefore, we say that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi[1] &= (\lambda I - \Theta\Lambda\Theta^{-1})\Psi, \\ U[1] &= (I - \Theta\Lambda\Theta^{-1})U(I - \Theta\Lambda\Theta^{-1})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

is the required Darboux transformation on the solution  $\Psi$  to the Lax pair (1.10)–(1.11) and  $U$  to the equation of motion (1.3), respectively.

### 3. Quasideterminant solutions

We have shown that the matrix  $M = \Theta\Lambda\Theta^{-1}$  satisfies the conditions (2.6)–(2.7). Therefore, the onefold Darboux transformation (2.1) can also be written in terms of quasideterminants as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi[1] &\equiv D(x, t; \lambda)\Psi = (\lambda I - \Theta_1\Lambda_1\Theta_1^{-1})\Psi, \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_1 & \Psi \\ \Theta_1\Lambda_1 & \boxed{\lambda\Psi} \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

The above equation defines the Darboux transformation on the matrix solution  $\Psi$  of the Lax pair (1.10)–(1.11). The corresponding onefold Darboux transformation on the matrix field  $U$  is

$$\begin{aligned} U[1] &= (I - \Theta_1\Lambda_1\Theta_1^{-1})U(I - \Theta_1\Lambda_1\Theta_1^{-1})^{-1}, \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_1 & I \\ \Theta_1(I - \Lambda_1) & \boxed{0} \end{vmatrix} U \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_1 & I \\ \Theta_1(I - \Lambda_1) & \boxed{0} \end{vmatrix}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

We write the twofold Darboux transformation on  $\Psi$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi[2] &\equiv D(x, t; \lambda)\Psi[1] = \lambda\Psi[1] - \Theta_2[1]\Lambda_2\Theta_2^{-1}[1]\Psi[1] \\ &= \lambda(\lambda I - \Theta_1\Lambda_1\Theta_1^{-1})\Psi \\ &\quad - (\Theta_2\Lambda_2 - \Theta_1\Lambda_1\Theta_1^{-1}\Theta_2)\Lambda_2(\Theta_2\Lambda_2 - \Theta_1\Lambda_1\Theta_1^{-1}\Theta_2)^{-1}(\lambda I - \Theta_1\Lambda_1\Theta_1^{-1})\Psi, \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_1 & \Theta_2 & \Psi \\ \Theta_1\Lambda_1 & \Theta_2\Lambda_2 & \lambda\Psi \\ \Theta_1\Lambda_1^2 & \Theta_2\Lambda_2^2 & \boxed{\lambda^2\Psi} \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Similarly the expression for the twofold Darboux transformation on the matrix field  $U$  is

$$\begin{aligned} U[2] &= \Theta_2[1](I - \Lambda_2)\Theta_2^{-1}[1]U[1](\Theta_2[1](I - \Lambda_2)\Theta_2^{-1}[1])^{-1}, \\ &= (\Theta_2\Lambda_2 - \Theta_1\Lambda_1\Theta_1^{-1}\Theta_2)(I - \Lambda_2)(\Theta_2\Lambda_2 - \Theta_1\Lambda_1\Theta_1^{-1}\Theta_2)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times (I - \Theta_1\Lambda_1\Theta_1^{-1})U(I - \Theta_1\Lambda_1\Theta_1^{-1})^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \left( (\Theta_2\Lambda_2 - \Theta_1\Lambda_1\Theta_1^{-1}\Theta_2)(I - \Lambda_2)(\Theta_2\Lambda_2 - \Theta_1\Lambda_1\Theta_1^{-1}\Theta_2)^{-1} \right)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_1 & \Theta_2 & I \\ \Theta_1(I - \Lambda_1) & \Theta_2(I - \Lambda_2) & 0 \\ \Theta_1(I - \Lambda_1)^2 & \Theta_2(I - \Lambda_2)^2 & \boxed{0} \end{vmatrix} \\
 &\times U \times \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_1 & \Theta_2 & I \\ \Theta_1(I - \Lambda_1) & \Theta_2(I - \Lambda_2) & 0 \\ \Theta_1(I - \Lambda_1)^2 & \Theta_2(I - \Lambda_2)^2 & \boxed{0} \end{vmatrix}^{-1}. \tag{3.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

The result can be generalized to obtain  $N$ -fold Darboux transformation on matrix solution  $\Psi$  as

$$\Psi[N] = \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_1 & \Theta_2 & \dots & \Theta_N & \Psi \\ \Theta_1\Lambda_1 & \Theta_2\Lambda_2 & \dots & \Theta_N\Lambda_N & \lambda\Psi \\ \Theta_1\Lambda_1^2 & \Theta_2\Lambda_2^2 & \dots & \Theta_N\Lambda_N^2 & \lambda^2\Psi \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \Theta_1\Lambda_1^N & \Theta_2\Lambda_2^N & \dots & \Theta_N\Lambda_N^N & \boxed{\lambda^N\Psi} \end{vmatrix}. \tag{3.5}$$

Similarly the expression for  $U[N]$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
 U[N] &= \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_1 & \Theta_2 & \dots & \Theta_N & I \\ \Theta_1(I - \Lambda_1) & \Theta_2(I - \Lambda_2) & \dots & \Theta_N(I - \Lambda_N) & 0 \\ \Theta_1(I - \Lambda_1)^2 & \Theta_2(I - \Lambda_2)^2 & \dots & \Theta_N(I - \Lambda_N)^2 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \Theta_1(I - \Lambda_1)^N & \Theta_2(I - \Lambda_2)^N & \dots & \Theta_N(I - \Lambda_N)^N & \boxed{0} \end{vmatrix} \\
 &\times U \times \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_1 & \Theta_2 & \dots & \Theta_N & I \\ \Theta_1(I - \Lambda_1) & \Theta_2(I - \Lambda_2) & \dots & \Theta_N(I - \Lambda_N) & 0 \\ \Theta_1(I - \Lambda_1)^2 & \Theta_2(I - \Lambda_2)^2 & \dots & \Theta_N(I - \Lambda_N)^2 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \Theta_1(I - \Lambda_1)^N & \Theta_2(I - \Lambda_2)^N & \dots & \Theta_N(I - \Lambda_N)^N & \boxed{0} \end{vmatrix}^{-1}. \tag{3.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

We now relate the quasideterminant solutions of GHM with the solutions obtained by the dressing method and the inverse scattering method. For this purpose, we proceed as follows. From the definition of the matrix  $M$ , we have

$$M\Theta = \Theta\Lambda. \tag{3.7}$$

Let  $\theta_i$  and  $\theta_j$  be the column solutions of the Lax pair (1.10)–(1.11) when  $\lambda = \lambda_i$  and  $\lambda = \lambda_j$ , respectively, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
 M\theta_i &= \lambda_i\theta_i, & i &= 1, 2, \dots, p \\
 M\theta_j &= \lambda_j\theta_j, & j &= p + 1, p + 2, \dots, n.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Now we take  $\lambda_i = \mu$  and  $\lambda_j = \bar{\mu}$ , and we may write the matrix  $M$  as

$$M = \mu P + \bar{\mu} P^\perp, \tag{3.9}$$

where  $P$  is the Hermitian projector i.e.  $P^\dagger = P$ . The projector  $P$  satisfies  $P^2 = P$  and  $P^\perp = 1 - P$ . The projector  $P$  is the Hermitian projection on a complex space and  $P^\perp$  is the projection on an orthogonal space. Now equation (3.9) can also written as

$$M = (\mu - \bar{\mu}) P + \bar{\mu} I, \tag{3.10}$$

where the Hermitian projector can be expressed as

$$P = \theta_i(\theta_i^\dagger, \theta_i)^{-1}\theta_i^\dagger. \tag{3.11}$$

The onefold Darboux transformation (3.1) on the matrix solution  $\Psi$  can also be expressed in terms of projector  $P$  as

$$\Psi[1] \equiv \mathcal{D}(x, t; \lambda) \Psi = \left( I - \frac{\mu - \bar{\mu}}{\lambda - \bar{\mu}} P \right) \Psi, \tag{3.12}$$

where  $\mathcal{D}(x, t; \lambda)$  is the rescaled Darboux-dressing function, i.e.  $\mathcal{D}(x, t; \lambda) = (\lambda - \mu)^{-1} D(x, t; \lambda)$ . Similarly the  $N$ -fold Darboux transformation (3.5) on the matrix solution  $\Psi$  can also be written as (take  $P[1] = P$ )

$$\Psi[N] = \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \left( I - \frac{\mu_{N-k} - \bar{\mu}_{N-k}}{\lambda - \bar{\mu}_{N-k}} P[N-k] \right) \Psi. \tag{3.13}$$

Now we can express the  $N$ -fold Darboux transformation (3.6) on the matrix field  $U$  that can be written as

$$U[N] = \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \left( I - \frac{\mu_{N-k} - \bar{\mu}_{N-k}}{1 - \bar{\mu}_{N-k}} P[N-k] \right) U \prod_{l=1}^{N-1} \left( I - \frac{\bar{\mu}_l - \mu_l}{1 - \bar{\mu}_l} P[l] \right), \tag{3.14}$$

and the Hermitian projector is defined as

$$P[k] = \theta_i[k] (\theta_i^\dagger[k], \theta_i[k])^{-1} \theta_i^\dagger[k]. \tag{3.15}$$

Expressions (3.13) and (3.14) can also be written as the sum of  $K$  terms [27]:

$$\Psi[N] = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left( I - \frac{1}{\lambda - \bar{\mu}_k} R_k \right) \Psi, \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$U[N] = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left( I - \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\mu}_k} R_k \right) U \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \left( I - \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\mu}_l} R_l \right)^{-1}, \tag{3.17}$$

where

$$R_k = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} (\mu_l - \bar{\mu}_k) \theta_i^{(k)} (\theta_i^{(k)\dagger}, \theta_i^{(l)})^{-1} \theta_i^{(l)\dagger}. \tag{3.18}$$

#### 4. The explicit solutions of the GHM model

In this section, we calculate explicit expression of the soliton solution. First of all we will study the GHM model based on  $SU(n)$ . In this case, the spin function  $U$  takes values in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{su}(n)$  so that one can decompose the spin function into components  $U = U^a T^a$ , and  $T^a, a = 1, 2, \dots, n^2$  are anti-Hermitian  $n \times n$  matrices with normalization  $\text{Tr}(T^a T^b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab}$  and are the generators of the  $SU(n)$  in the fundamental representation satisfying the algebra

$$[T^a, T^b] = f^{abc} T^c, \tag{4.1}$$

where  $f^{abc}$  are the structure constants of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ . For any  $X \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$ , we write  $X = X^a T^a$  and  $U^a = -2 \text{Tr}(U T^a)$ .

The matrix-field  $U$  belongs to the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{su}(n)$  of the Lie group  $SU(n)$ ; therefore,

$$U^\dagger = -U, \quad \text{Tr}(U) = 0. \tag{4.2}$$



Equations (2.1)–(2.2) and (2.5) define a Darboux transformation for the GHM model based on the Lie group  $SU(n)$ . The new solution of the equation of motion (1.3)  $U[1]$  must be  $\mathfrak{su}(n)$  valued, i.e.

$$U^\dagger[1] = -U[1], \quad \text{Tr}(U[1]) = 0; \tag{4.3}$$

therefore, we have the following conditions on the matrix  $M$ :

$$M^\dagger = -M, \quad \text{Tr}(M) = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

In other words we want to make specific  $M$  to satisfy the (4.4). This can be achieved if we choose the particular solutions  $\theta_i$  at  $\lambda = \lambda_i$ ; let us first calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x(\theta_i^\dagger \theta_j) &= (\partial_x \theta_i^\dagger) \theta_j + \theta_i^\dagger (\partial_x \theta_j) \\ &= (1 - \bar{\lambda}_i)^{-1} \theta_i^\dagger U^\dagger \theta_j + (1 - \lambda_j)^{-1} \theta_i^\dagger U \theta_j; \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

using equation (4.2) equation (4.5) becomes

$$\partial_x(\theta_i^\dagger \theta_j) = 0, \tag{4.6}$$

when  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  (i.e.  $\bar{\lambda}_i = \lambda_j$ ). Similarly we can check

$$\partial_t(\theta_i^\dagger \theta_j) = 0. \tag{4.7}$$

From the definition of the matrix  $M$ , we have

$$\theta_i^\dagger (M^\dagger + M) \theta_j = (\bar{\lambda}_i + \lambda_j) \theta_i^\dagger \theta_j, \tag{4.8}$$

when  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  and then expression (4.8) implies

$$\theta_i^\dagger \theta_j = 0. \tag{4.9}$$

The column vectors  $\theta_i$  are linearly independent and equation (4.9) holds everywhere.

For the HM model based on  $SU(n)$ , the constant matrix (1.5) becomes

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 2 - \frac{2}{n} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{2}{n} & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -\frac{2}{n} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\frac{2}{n} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{n} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\frac{2}{n} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.10}$$

Then  $U^2$  becomes

$$U^2 = \frac{4(n-1)}{n^2} I + \frac{2(n-2)}{n} U. \tag{4.11}$$

These are the constraints given in [4]. For the construction of explicit soliton solution for the  $SU(n)$  HM model, we construct the matrix  $M$  by defining a Hermitian projector  $P$ . For this case, we take the seed solution to be

$$U_0 \equiv U = i \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & a_n \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.12}$$

where  $a_i$  are real constants and  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 0$ . The corresponding solution of the Lax pair is expressed in the block diagonal matrix:

$$\Psi(x, t; \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} W_p(\lambda) & O \\ O & W_{n-p}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.13}$$

where

$$W_p(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\omega_1(\lambda)} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & e^{i\omega_p(\lambda)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$W_{n-p}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\omega_{p+1}(\lambda)} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & e^{i\omega_n(\lambda)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.15}$$

are  $p \times p$  and  $(n - p) \times (n - p)$  matrices, respectively, and

$$\omega_i(\lambda) = a_i \left( \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} x + \frac{4}{(1 - \lambda)^2} t \right). \tag{4.16}$$

Now define a particular matrix solution  $\Theta$  of the Lax pair as

$$\Theta = (\Psi(\mu)L_1, \Psi(\bar{\mu})L_2), \tag{4.17}$$

where  $L_1$  is an  $n \times p$  constant matrix of  $p$  column vectors and  $L_2$  is the orthogonal complementary  $n \times (n - p)$  matrix of  $(n - p)$  column vectors. The columns of  $L_1$  span a  $p$ -dimensional subspace  $U$  of  $C^n$ , and those of  $L_2$  span the orthogonal subspace  $V$ . The projector  $P$  is completely characterized by the two subspaces  $U = \text{Im } P$  and  $V = \text{Ker } P$  given by the condition  $P^\perp U = 0$  and  $PV = 0$ . Let us write  $L_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}$  and  $L_2 = \begin{pmatrix} C \\ D \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $A, B, C$  and  $D$  are constant  $p \times p, (n - p) \times n, p \times (n - p)$  and  $(n - p) \times (n - p)$  constant matrices, respectively. Given this, the  $n \times n$  matrix  $\Theta$  is given by

$$\Theta = \begin{pmatrix} W_p(\mu)A & W_p(\bar{\mu})C \\ W_{n-p}(\mu)B & W_{n-p}(\bar{\mu})D \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.18}$$

We now define the projector  $P$  in terms of the matrix  $\Phi = \Psi(\mu)L_1 = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p)$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} W_p(\mu)A \\ W_{n-p}(\mu)B \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The projector is thus given by

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} W_p(\mu)A \Delta A^\dagger W_p^\dagger(\bar{\mu}) & W_p(\mu)A \Delta B^\dagger W_{n-p}^\dagger(\bar{\mu}) \\ W_{n-p}(\mu)B \Delta A^\dagger W_p^\dagger(\bar{\mu}) & W_{n-p}(\mu)B \Delta B^\dagger W_{n-p}^\dagger(\bar{\mu}) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.19}$$

where  $\Delta^{-1} = A^\dagger W_p^\dagger(\bar{\mu})W_p(\mu)A + B^\dagger W_{n-p}^\dagger(\bar{\mu})W_{n-p}(\mu)A$ . The Darboux matrix  $D(\lambda)$  can now be constructed to give an explicit soliton solution of the  $SU(n)$  HM model. To elaborate the result more explicitly, we proceed with the example of the  $SU(2)$  HM model.

For the  $SU(2)$  model, equations (4.10) and (4.11) become

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.20}$$

Then  $U^2$  becomes

$$U^2 = I. \tag{4.21}$$

The Lax pair (1.10)–(1.11) for the  $SU(2)$  model can be written as

$$\partial_x \Psi(x, t; \lambda) = \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)} U(x, t) \Psi(x, t; \lambda), \tag{4.22}$$

$$\partial_t \Psi(x, t; \lambda) = \left( \frac{4}{(1-\lambda)^2} U + \frac{2}{(1-\lambda)} U U_x \right) \Psi(x, t; \lambda). \tag{4.23}$$

If we take a trivial solution (as seed solution), single soliton and multi-soliton solutions can be obtained by Darboux transformation as explained above.

We take the seed solution to be

$$U_0 \equiv U = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.24}$$

The corresponding solution of the linear system (4.22)–(4.23) can be written as

$$\Psi(x, t; \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(\frac{1}{(1-\lambda)}x + \frac{4}{(1-\lambda)^2}t)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i(\frac{1}{(1-\lambda)}x + \frac{4}{(1-\lambda)^2}t)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.25}$$

Taking  $\lambda_1 = \mu$  and  $\lambda_2 = \bar{\mu}$ , the constant matrix  $\Lambda$  is given by

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\mu} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.26}$$

and the corresponding  $2 \times 2$  matrix solution  $\Theta$  becomes

$$\Theta \equiv (\theta_1, \theta_2) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(\frac{1}{(1-\mu)}x + \frac{4}{(1-\mu)^2}t)} & e^{i(\frac{1}{(1-\bar{\mu})}x + \frac{4}{(1-\bar{\mu})^2}t)} \\ -e^{-i(\frac{1}{(1-\mu)}x + \frac{4}{(1-\mu)^2}t)} & e^{-i(\frac{1}{(1-\bar{\mu})}x + \frac{4}{(1-\bar{\mu})^2}t)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.27}$$

The matrix  $M$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \Theta \Lambda \Theta^{-1}, \\ &= \frac{1}{e^u + e^{-u}} \begin{pmatrix} \mu e^u + \bar{\mu} e^{-u} & (\bar{\mu} - \mu) e^{iv} \\ (\bar{\mu} - \mu) e^{-iv} & \bar{\mu} e^u + \mu e^{-u} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

where the functions  $u(x, t)$  and  $v(x, t)$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= i \left( \frac{1}{(1-\mu)} - \frac{1}{(1-\bar{\mu})} \right) x + 4i \left( \frac{1}{(1-\mu)^2} - \frac{1}{(1-\bar{\mu})^2} \right) t, \\ v(x, t) &= \left( \frac{1}{(1-\mu)} + \frac{1}{(1-\bar{\mu})} \right) x + 4 \left( \frac{1}{(1-\mu)^2} + \frac{1}{(1-\bar{\mu})^2} \right) t. \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

Let us take the eigenvalue to be  $\mu = e^{i\theta}$ . Expression (4.28) then becomes

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta + i \sin \theta \tanh u & -i (\sin \theta \operatorname{sech} u) e^{iv} \\ -i (\sin \theta \operatorname{sech} u) e^{-iv} & \cos \theta - i \sin \theta \tanh u \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.30}$$

and the corresponding Darboux matrix  $D(\lambda)$  in this case is

$$D(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - \cos \theta - i \sin \theta \tanh u & i (\sin \theta \operatorname{sech} u) e^{iv} \\ i (\sin \theta \operatorname{sech} u) e^{-iv} & \lambda - \cos \theta + i \sin \theta \tanh u \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.31}$$

Comparing the above equation with (3.12), we find the following expression for the projector

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 2 e^u \operatorname{sech} u & -2 e^{iv} \operatorname{sech} u \\ -2 e^{-iv} \operatorname{sech} u & 2 e^{-u} \operatorname{sech} u \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.32}$$

Using (3.2) and (4.24), we get

$$U[1] = \begin{pmatrix} iU_3 & U_+ \\ -U_- & -iU_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.33)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U_3 &= 1 - (1 + \cos \theta) \operatorname{sech}^2 u, \\ U_+ &\equiv \bar{U}_- = -i e^{iv} [(1 + \cos \theta) \tanh u + i \sin \theta] \operatorname{sech} u. \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

From equation (4.34), we see that  $U^\dagger[1] = -U[1]$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}(U[1]) = 0$ . Therefore, equation (4.34) is an explicit expression of the single-soliton solution of the HM model based on  $SU(2)$  obtained by using Darboux transformation. Similarly one can calculate an explicit expression for the multi-soliton solution of the model. Expression (4.34) is similar to the expression of the single soliton given in [2].

## 5. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we have studied the GHM model based on the general linear Lie group  $GL(n)$  and expressed the multi-soliton solutions in terms of the quasideterminant using the Darboux transformation defined on the solution of the Lax pair. We have also established equivalence between the Darboux matrix approach and Zakharov–Mikhailov’s dressing method. In the last section, we have reduced the GHM model into the HM model based on  $SU(n)$  and calculated an explicit expression for the single-soliton solution. It would be interesting to study the GHM models based on Hermitian symmetric spaces. We shall address this problem in a separate work.

## References

- [1] Cherednik I 1996 Basic methods of soliton theory *Adv. Ser. Math. Phys.* **25** 1–250
- [2] Faddeev L D and Takhtajan L A 1987 *Hamiltonian Methods in The Theory of Solitons* (Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics) (Berlin: Springer) pp 592
- [3] Takhtajan L A 1977 Integration of the continuous Heisenberg spin chain through the inverse scattering method *Phys. Lett. A* **64** 235–7
- [4] Orfanidis S J 1980  $SU(N)$  Heisenberg spin chain *Phys. Lett. A* **75** 304–6
- [5] Honerkamp J 1981 Gauge equivalence of exactly integrable field theoretic models *J. Math. Phys.* **22** 277–81
- [6] Kulish P P and Sklyanin E K 1982 Quantum spectral transform method. recent developments *Lecture Notes Phys.* **151** 61–119
- [7] Sklyanin E K 1992 Quantum inverse scattering method. Selected topics p 36 arXiv:hep-th/9211111
- [8] Lakshamanan M 1977 Continuum spin system as an exactly solvable dynamical system *Phys. Lett.* **61** 53–4
- [9] Lakshamanan M and Bullough R K 1980 Geometry of generalized nonlinear Schrödinger and Heisenberg ferromagnetic spin equation with linearity  $x$ -dependent coefficients *Phys. Lett. A* **80** 287–92
- [10] Pritula G M and Vekslerchik V E 2003 Stationary structures in two-dimensional continuous Heisenberg ferromagnetic spin system *J. Non. Math. Phys.* **10** 256–81
- [11] Shin H J 2001 Generalized Heisenberg ferromagnetic models via Hermitian symmetric spaces *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** 3169–77
- [12] Oh P and Park Q H 1996 More on generalized Heisenberg ferromagnet models *Phys. Lett. B* **383** 333–8
- [13] Shin H J 2006 SIT-NLS solitons in Hermitian symmetric spaces *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39** 3921–31
- [14] K-Juric S 2007 The Heisenberg magnet equation and Birkhoff factorization *Ann. Uni. Ferrara* **53** 299–308
- [15] Ragnisco O and Zullo F 2007 Continuous and discrete (classical) Heisenberg spin chain revisited *SIGMA* **3** 033
- [16] Zakharov V E and Mikhailov A V 1978 Relativistically invariant two-dimensional models in field theory integrable by the inverse problem technique (in Russian). *Sov. Phys. JETP* **47** 1017–27  
Zakharov V E and Mikhailov A V 1978 *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **74** 1953–73
- [17] Gelfand I and Retakh V 1991 Determinants of matrices over noncommutative rings *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **25** 91–102

- [18] Gelfand I and Retakh V 1992 A theory of noncommutative determinants and characteristic functions of graphs *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **26** 1–20
- [19] Gelfand I, Gelfand S, Retakh V and Wilson R L 2005 Quasideterminants *Adv. Math.* **193** 56–141
- [20] Gelfand I, Retakh V and Wilson R L 2002 Quaternionic quasideterminants and determinants arXiv:math.QA/0206211
- [21] Krob D and Leclerc B 1995 Minor identities for quasi determinants and quantum determinants *Commun. Math. Phys.* **169** 1–23
- [22] Li C X and Nimmo J J C 2008 Quasideterminant solutions of a non-Abelian Toda lattice and kink solutions of a matrix sine-gordon equation *Proc. R. Soc. A* **464** 951–66
- [23] Etingof P I, Gelfand I and Retakh V 1997 Factorization of differential operators, quasideterminants, and non-Abelian Toda field equations *Math. Res. Lett.* **4** 413–26
- [24] Gilson C R and Nimmo J J C 2007 On a direct approach to quasideterminant solutions of a noncommutative KP equation *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** 3839–50
- [25] Gilson C R, Hamanaka M and Nimmo J J C 2007 Backlund transformations for noncommutative anti-self-dual Yang–Mills equations arXiv:0709.2069
- [26] Hassan M 2009 Darboux transformation of the generalized coupled dispersionless integrable systems *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42** 065203
- [27] Haider B and Hassan M 2009 Quasideterminant solutions of integrable chiral model in two dimensions *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42** 355211
- [28] Gilson C R, Nimmo J J C and Sooman M 2008 On a direct approach to quasideterminant solutions of a noncommutative modified KP equation *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41** 3839–50
- [29] Hamanaka M 2007 Notes on exact multi-soliton solutions of noncommutative integrable hierarchies *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP02(2007)094**
- [30] Hamanaka M 2008 Integrable aspects of noncommutative anti-self-dual Yang–Mills equations *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **23** 2237–8
- [31] Darboux G 1882 Sur une proposition relative aux équations linéaires (On a proposition relative to linear equations) *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **94** 1456–9
- [32] Darboux G 1915 *Lecons sur la théorie générale des surfaces Volume 2*, (Paris: Gauthier–Villars) pp 214–5
- [33] Matveev V B and Salle M A 1991 *Darboux Transformations and Soliton* (Berlin: Springer) p 120
- [34] Sakhnovich A L 1994 Dressing procedure for solutions of non-linear equations and the method of operator identities *Inverse Problems* **10** 699–710
- [35] Cieslinski J 1995 An algebraic method to construct the Darboux matrix *J. Math. Phys.* **36** 5670–706
- [36] Cieslinski J L and Biernacki W 2005 A new approach to the Darboux–Backlund transformation versus the standard dressing method *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38** 9491–501
- [37] Manas M 1996 Darboux transformations for the nonlinear Schrödinger equations *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **29** 7721–37
- [38] Park Q H and Shin H J 2001 Darboux transformation and Crum’s formula for multi-component integrable equations *Physica D* **157** 1–15
- [39] Ji Q 2003 Darboux transformation for MZM-i, II equations *Phys. Lett. A* **311** 384–8